

JOURNAL

OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL

Tuesday - 23 July 1963

25X1 [redacted] [redacted] called to say that OLC's response to the Senate Preparedness Subcommittee's request for an updating of Cuban subversion in Latin America had been completed and was in the hands of DIA for review. He expects a reaction from DIA today or tomorrow. He mentioned that it had been agreed between DIA and ourselves that we would submit one reply to the Committee rather than separate responses from the two agencies. 25X1

25X1 [redacted] Received a request from Senate Immigration Subcommittee for information on [redacted] who, along with his wife and children, are beneficiaries of a private bill [redacted]. This is being forwarded to CI Staff. 25X1 25X1

25X1 [redacted] Talked with Senator Samuel Ervin concerning his transmittal of a letter from Dr. Andrew Salter who, along with a Dr. Sutherland, has expressed concern over the possible use by the Soviets of Pavlovian techniques in the struggle between communism and the West. Based on information provided by OSI, I advised Senator Ervin that we had been watching this subject carefully for some time and that we had in fact been in touch with Dr. Sutherland on this subject some time ago as a result of public statements he has made on this subject. I added that Dr. Sutherland had no information to support his concern and that we thus far had found no evidence that the Soviets were employing Pavlovian techniques. I emphasized we were watching this and related areas very closely but we saw no basis for establishing a study in this field at this time. Senator Ervin was most appreciative of our taking the time to see him on this and explained that this entire field was beyond his knowledge and he had become involved only because one of his constituents had arranged for him to meet with Salter and Sutherland. Ervin has also queried the Department of Defense and State Department and other agencies on this subject. I told him we had no objection to his using the general information we had given him but requested that no attribution be given to the Agency. Ervin said he understood and would handle the matter accordingly.

On my return from the Hill, I received a call from Mr. Michael Mines, in State, who was working on Ervin's letter to the Department on this subject. I advised Mines of the above and he said this would be helpful to them in their response to the Senator.